

Adams, Hope

From: Butler, David
Sent: Friday, February 5, 2021 9:36 AM
To: MATTHEW GISSENDANNER
Cc: PSC_Contact; KENNETH BURGESS; Grube-Lybarker, Carri; Knowles, Alex; Huber, Christopher; Hall, Roger; Powers, John
Subject: RE: [External] RE: Variance found in DN 2020-5-G

OK.
 Thanks, Matt. Will do.
 David Butler

From: MATTHEW GISSENDANNER <matthew.gissendanner@dominionenergy.com>
Sent: Friday, February 5, 2021 9:24 AM
To: Butler, David <David.Butler@psc.sc.gov>
Cc: PSC_Contact <Contact@psc.sc.gov>; KENNETH BURGESS <chad.burgess@dominionenergy.com>; Grube-Lybarker, Carri <clybarker@scconsumer.gov>; Knowles, Alex <aknowles@ors.sc.gov>; Huber, Christopher <chuber@ors.sc.gov>; Hall, Roger <RHall@scconsumer.gov>; Powers, John <John.Powers@psc.sc.gov>
Subject: [External] RE: Variance found in DN 2020-5-G
Importance: High

David:

Thank you for your email. In your email below, you specifically reference a "small variance" for Rates 34 and 35; however, in your pdf, there is a small variance for all the rates. The pdf attached to your email shows a variance of 0.00007 for Rates 31 and 33, and a variance of 0.00047 for Rates 32V and 32S. (DESC is unclear why the "check" column in the pdf shows a 0.00000 for Rate 31 when the two preceding columns show a difference of 0.00007.) Note "D" on the pdf you provided states that "[t]he small differences . . . appear to be largely due to taxes." This is correct. The variances identified in the pdf you provided are related to the application of the revenue tax factor to PGA rate changes for gross receipts and the support of the Commission and ORS. When there is a PGA rate change, we calculate a revenue tax impact on that change and adjust the total commodity rate accordingly. The Company has prepared the attached spreadsheet which demonstrates that the "variances" you identified are equal to the revenue tax after application of the revenue tax factor, which is updated annually.

Finally, I would note that Rate 36, which is listed in your pdf, was eliminated in the Company's 2019 RSA proceeding.

I hope this is helpful. Please let us know if you have any further questions.

Matt

From: Butler, David <David.Butler@psc.sc.gov>
Sent: Thursday, February 4, 2021 11:01 AM
To: Carri Grube-Lybarker <clybarker@scconsumer.gov>; Chad Burgess (Services - 6) <chad.burgess@dominionenergy.com>; Matthew Gissendanner (Services - 6) <matthew.gissendanner@dominionenergy.com>; Knowles, Alex <aknowles@ors.sc.gov>; Huber, Christopher <chuber@ors.sc.gov>; Hall, Roger <RHall@scconsumer.gov>
Cc: Powers, John <John.Powers@psc.sc.gov>; PSC_Contact <Contact@psc.sc.gov>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Variance found in DN 2020-5-G

This is an EXTERNAL email that was NOT sent from Dominion Energy. Are you expecting this message? Are you expecting a link or attachment? DO NOT click links or open attachments until you verify them

To Chad Burgess and Matt Gissendanner:

With regard to Commission Staff's examination of the DESC PGA adjustment for February, it appears that there is a small variance of \$0.0004 per Dekatherm for Rate 34 – Large General Service and Rate 35 – Transportation & Standby. Staff was able to reconcile these differences when Rate 34 was included in the Rider to Rates 31, 32V, 32S, 33 & 34 - Service for Air Conditioning included Rate 34, but this rate was removed as a result of rates approved in the RSA for November 2020. Tariff 34 and 35 variances started to occur after the RSA removed rate 34 from the rider. Please see worksheet. Could Dominion please provide an explanation or reconciliation for this variance? Please see attached spreadsheet.

Thanks,

David Butler

Special Counsel

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This electronic message contains information which may be legally confidential and or privileged and does not in any case represent a firm ENERGY COMMODITY bid or offer relating thereto which binds the sender without an additional express written confirmation to that effect. The information is intended solely for the individual or entity named above and access by anyone else is unauthorized. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this information is prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this electronic transmission in error, please reply immediately to the sender that you have received the message in error, and delete it. Thank you.

Adams, Hope

From: MATTHEW GISSENDANNER <matthew.gissendanner@dominionenergy.com>
Sent: Friday, February 5, 2021 9:24 AM
To: Butler, David
Cc: PSC_Contact; KENNETH BURGESS; Grube-Lybarker, Carri; Knowles, Alex; Huber, Christopher; Hall, Roger; Powers, John
Subject: [External] RE: Variance found in DN 2020-5-G
Attachments: DESC Gas - PGA Tariff Check - Jan 2021 vs Feb 2021.xlsx
Importance: High

David:

Thank you for your email. In your email below, you specifically reference a "small variance" for Rates 34 and 35; however, in your pdf, there is a small variance for all the rates. The pdf attached to your email shows a variance of 0.00007 for Rates 31 and 33, and a variance of 0.00047 for Rates 32V and 32S. (DESC is unclear why the "check" column in the pdf shows a 0.00000 for Rate 31 when the two preceding columns show a difference of 0.00007.) Note "D" on the pdf you provided states that "[t]he small differences . . . appear to be largely due to taxes." This is correct. The variances identified in the pdf you provided are related to the application of the revenue tax factor to PGA rate changes for gross receipts and the support of the Commission and ORS. When there is a PGA rate change, we calculate a revenue tax impact on that change and adjust the total commodity rate accordingly. The Company has prepared the attached spreadsheet which demonstrates that the "variances" you identified are equal to the revenue tax after application of the revenue tax factor, which is updated annually.

Finally, I would note that Rate 36, which is listed in your pdf, was eliminated in the Company's 2019 RSA proceeding.

I hope this is helpful. Please let us know if you have any further questions.

Matt

From: Butler, David <David.Butler@psc.sc.gov>
Sent: Thursday, February 4, 2021 11:01 AM
To: Carri Grube-Lybarker <clybarker@scconsumer.gov>; Chad Burgess (Services - 6) <chad.burgess@dominionenergy.com>; Matthew Gissendanner (Services - 6) <matthew.gissendanner@dominionenergy.com>; Knowles, Alex <aknowles@ors.sc.gov>; Huber, Christopher <chuber@ors.sc.gov>; Hall, Roger <RHall@scconsumer.gov>
Cc: Powers, John <John.Powers@psc.sc.gov>; PSC_Contact <Contact@psc.sc.gov>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Variance found in DN 2020-5-G

This is an EXTERNAL email that was NOT sent from Dominion Energy. Are you expecting this message? Are you expecting a link or attachment? DO NOT click links or open attachments until you verify them

To Chad Burgess and Matt Gissendanner:

With regard to Commission Staff's examination of the DESC PGA adjustment for February, it appears that there is a small variance of \$0.0004 per Dekatherm for Rate 34 – Large General Service and Rate 35 – Transportation & Standby. Staff was able to reconcile these differences when Rate 34 was included in the Rider to Rates 31, 32V, 32S, 33 & 34 - Service for Air Conditioning included Rate 34, but this rate was removed as a result of rates approved in the RSA for November

2020. Tariff 34 and 35 variances started to occur after the RSA removed rate 34 from the rider. Please see worksheet. Could Dominion please provide an explanation or reconciliation for this variance? Please see attached spreadsheet. Thanks,
David Butler
Special Counsel

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This electronic message contains information which may be legally confidential and or privileged and does not in any case represent a firm ENERGY COMMODITY bid or offer relating thereto which binds the sender without an additional express written confirmation to that effect. The information is intended solely for the individual or entity named above and access by anyone else is unauthorized. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this information is prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this electronic transmission in error, please reply immediately to the sender that you have received the message in error, and delete it. Thank you.